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# 1.0 Project Overview

The project Breaking Barriers in Housing aims to analyze how current rent regulations impact housing accessibility in regions with high unemployment. By evaluating requirements like proof of employment and acceptance of government or disability payments for rent, we will identify legislative and programmatic changes to make housing more inclusive and accessible. Our goal is to propose actionable policies that reduce barriers to stable housing, ultimately addressing homelessness in vulnerable communities.

We will study how current rental rules affect housing and identify where they create barriers. Then, we will recommend changes to make these rules fairer and more inclusive. This will help more people access stable housing and reduce homelessness.

If the project succeeds, vulnerable groups in high-unemployment areas will face fewer housing barriers, homelessness will decrease, and policymakers will have data-driven solutions to improve accessibility.

# 2.0 Project Objectives

The overall goal of the project:

This project aims to identify and reduce housing accessibility barriers in high-unemployment regions by analyzing rent regulations, proposing policy changes, and engaging stakeholders to create more inclusive housing opportunities.

The list of objectives:

1. Analyze rent regulations in three high-unemployment regions by March 31, 2025, to identify specific barriers preventing vulnerable groups from accessing reliable housing.
2. Develop a report with three actionable policy recommendations by May 31, 2025, to provide stakeholders with solutions for improving housing accessibility.
3. Organize and conduct two stakeholder workshops by June 30, 2025, to gather feedback and ensure that proposed policies address diverse community needs.
4. Publish a public report summarizing findings and policy recommendations by July 31, 2025, to raise awareness and encourage broader discussions on housing accessibility challenges.
5. Assess the effectiveness of implemented policy recommendations and achieve a measurable 10% reduction in housing accessibility barriers in targeted regions by December 31, 2025.

# 3.0 Project Scope

| **Objective**  (must match wording from the precious section exactly) | **In Scope**  (sub-objectives / next level WBS) | **Out of Scope** | **Sequencing** (consecutive / concurrent) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Analyze rent regulations in three high-unemployment regions by March 31, 2025, to identify specific barriers preventing vulnerable groups from accessing reliable housing. | 1. Identify important rent rules in selected regions 2. Identify challenges in accessing housing 3. Analyze how employment verification requirements affect people 4. Evaluate how government and disability payments are accepted 5. Summarize key barriers and policy gaps in a detailed report with evidence-based findings | * Analysis outside the three selected regions. | * 1a. 1b. consecutive * 1c. 1d. concurrent * 1e.   consecutive |
| 1. Develop a report with three actionable policy recommendations by May 31, 2025, to provide stakeholders with solutions for improving housing accessibility. | 1. Conduct surveys and interviews with tenants, landlords, housing advocates, and policymakers. 2. Draft evidence-based proposals for policy changes that improve housing accessibility ensuring recommendations align with legal frameworks and implementation feasibility. 3. Prepare and distribute a professionally designed report across stakeholders summarizing findings and policy recommendations. 4. Collaborate with legal and housing experts to ensure that policy recommendations are actionable. | * Implementation of policy changes. | * All steps are consecutive |
| 1. Organize and conduct two stakeholder workshops by June 30, 2025, to gather feedback and ensure that proposed policies address diverse community needs. | 1. Present a list of proposed policy implementations, using infographics to illustrate the impact of each policy. 2. Conduct an open forum after the workshop, allowing for discussion of challenges and questions the stakeholders may have. 3. Issue a survey for feedback and improvement of the policies. 4. Implement feedback to update proposed policies. 5. Compile stakeholder feedback and workshop outcomes into a comprehensive report | * Ensuring consensus among stakeholders (mutual agreement on policy changes is not guaranteed). | * 3a.   consecutive   * 3b. 3c.   concurrent   * 3d. 3e.   Consecutive |
| 1. Publish a public report summarizing findings and policy recommendations by July 31, 2025, to raise awareness and encourage broader discussions on housing accessibility challenges. | 1. Identify key audiences for the report and its distribution channels. 2. Draft and finalize the report with stakeholder feedback. 3. Publish the report on official platforms. 4. Track public engagement with the publication through clicks, mentions, downloads, comments and social media engagement. | * Tracking long-term social impact of the report. | * All steps are consecutive |
| 1. Assess the effectiveness of implemented policy recommendations and achieve a measurable 10% reduction in housing accessibility barriers in targeted regions by December 31, 2025. | 1. Collect data on the barriers before and after policy implementation to measure the impact of changes 2. Conduct surveys and interviews with policy users and stakeholders to gather qualitative feedback on the effectiveness 3. Analyze the data to identify trends and patterns that indicate a reduction in housing barriers 4. Develop a comprehensive assessment report that details the findings, methodologies used and recommendations for further improvements | * Providing financial aid, legal assistance or direct housing placement for tenants. | * 5a. 5d   consecutive   * 5b. 5c   concurrent |

# 4.0 Project Preliminary Schedule

| Key Activities / Milestones | Estimated Start | Estimated Finish |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Analyze rent regulations in three high-unemployment regions by March 31, 2025, to identify specific barriers preventing vulnerable groups from accessing reliable housing.    1. Identify important rent rules in selected regions    2. Identify challenges in accessing housing    3. Analyze the impact of employment verification on people    4. Evaluate the acceptance of government and disability payments    5. Summarize key barriers and policy gaps in a detailed report with evidence-based findings   Milestone: Complete analysis of rent rules and key housing barriers.  Go live by 03.31.3035 | 01.01.2025  01.21.2025  02.11.2025  02.11.2025  03.26.2025 | 01.20.2025  02.10.2025  03.20.2025  03.25.2025  03.31.2025 |
| 1. Develop a report with three actionable policy recommendations by May 31, 2025, to provide stakeholders with solutions for improving housing accessibility.    1. Conduct surveys and interviews with key housing stakeholders    2. Draft evidence-based policy proposals aligned with laws and feasibility    3. Create and share a professional report summarizing findings    4. Work with experts to ensure actionable recommendations   Milestone: Finalize policy report with recommendations.  Go live by 05.31.2025 | 04.01.2025  04.21.2025  05.11.2025  05.26.2025 | 04.20.2025  05.10.2025  05.25.2025  05.31.2025 |
| 1. Organize and conduct two stakeholder workshops by June 30, 2025, to gather feedback and ensure that proposed policies address diverse community needs.    1. Present proposed policies with infographics illustrating their impact    2. Hold an open forum for stakeholder discussions and questions    3. Issue a survey for feedback and improvement of the policies    4. Implement feedback to update proposed policies    5. Compile stakeholder feedback and workshop outcomes into a comprehensive report   Milestone: Complete stakeholder workshops and finalize policy revisions.  Go live by 06.30.2025 | 06.01.2025  06.11.2025  06.11.2025  06.21.2025  06.28.2025 | 06.10.2025  06.19.2025  06.20.2025  06.28.2025  06.30.2025 |
| 1. Publish a public report summarizing findings and policy recommendations by July 31, 2025, to raise awareness and encourage broader discussions on housing accessibility challenges.    1. Identify key audiences for the report and its distribution channels    2. Draft and finalize the report with stakeholder feedback    3. Publish the report on official platforms    4. Track public engagement with the publication through clicks, mentions, downloads, comments and social media engagement   Milestone: Publish public report and begin engagement tracking.  Go live by 07.31.2025 | 07.01.2025  07.08.2025  07.21.2025  07.26.2025 | 07.07.2025  07.20.2025  07.25.2025  07.31.2025 |
| 1. Assess the effectiveness of implemented policy recommendations and achieve a measurable 10% reduction in housing accessibility barriers in targeted regions by December 31, 2025.    1. Gather data on barriers before and after policy implementation.    2. Survey and interview stakeholders for qualitative feedback    3. Analyze trends to assess the reduction in housing barriers    4. Create a report detailing findings, methods, and recommendations   Milestone: Complete final assessment report.  Go live by 12.31.2025 | 08.01.2025  10.01.2025  10.01.2025  12.01.2025 | 09.30.2025  10.31.2025  11.30.2025  12.31.2025 |

# 5.0 Project Organization & Communications

**5.1 Project Stakeholders** - Groups or organizations whose interests may be impacted by the execution or completion of the project, and who may exert influence over the project and its deliverables. It should always include the Project Sponsor (authorizes the project) and the client/ business owner (the person/ group who will accept the project deliverables upon completion - may be the same as the sponsor).

| **Group/Individual** | **Role (Project Interest or Impact)** | **Internal/External** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Vulnerable Communities | Directly impacted by rent regulations and barriers to housing | External |
| Policymakers and Legislators | Will consider and act on policy recommendations | External |
| Housing Advocates | Provide insights into housing challenges and support policy recommendations | External |
| Landlords and Property Owners | Impacted by rule changes and can give feedback on policy practicality | External |
| Municipal Housing Authorities | Manage local housing policies and implement changes | External |
| Legal and Economic Experts | Provide insights on policy effects, legal aspects, and economic feasibility | External |
| Office of Housing Policy Research (Project Sponsor) | Approves the project, provides funding, and ensures goal alignment | Internal |

**5.2** **Project Team** - Names for critical resources and/or identified team members who will be responsible for performing the work of the project.

| **Name** | **Organization / Department** | **Role** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexandra Gladkova | Office of Housing Policy Research | Project Manager |
| Kushwanth Sai Kolli | Housing Policy and Advocacy Division | Policy Analyst |
| Hao Lun Rong | Data Analytics Team | Data Analyst |
| Christina Saju | Legal and Regulatory Affairs | Legal and Compliance Advisor |
| Ladan Asempour | Communications and Outreach Unit | Stakeholder Engagement Lead |

**5.3** **Project Communications Plan** – Key information to be collected and distributed to stakeholders based on project requirements.

| What Information? | Target Audience | Frequency / When? | Method of Communication | Provider |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Project Status Updates and Meeting Agendas | Project Sponsor, Team, Staff | weekly | e-mail & hardcopy | Project Manager |
| 1. Rent Regulation Analysis Report | Housing Policy and Advocacy Division, Legal and Economic Experts | after analysis completion | report | Policy Analyst |
| 1. Policy Recommendation Report | Government Officials, Policy Teams | end of policy development phase | report | Policy Analyst & Project Manager |
| 1. Stakeholder Workshop Invitations & Agendas | Stakeholders, Advocacy Groups | before each workshop | e-mail & official notices | Stakeholder Engagement Lead |
| 1. Stakeholder Workshop Summary Reports | Project Team, Housing Policy Teams | after each workshop | e-mail & report | Stakeholder Engagement Lead |
| 1. Milestone Report | Project Sponsor, Legal & Economic Experts | at each milestone completion | e-mail & hardcopy | Project Manager |
| 1. Public Report on Housing Accessibility | General Public, Advocacy Groups | end of project | public announcement (press) & online posting | Communications Team |
| 1. Updates on How the Project is Being Implemented | Internal Teams, Decision Makers | monthly | team updates (memos) & reports | Project Manager |
| 1. Urgent Issues and Risk Reports | Project Sponsor, Policy & Legal Teams | as needed | team updates (memos) & emergency meetings | Project Manager & Legal Advisor |
| 1. Final Review of the Project and Lessons Learned | Organization Leadership, Future Project Teams | end of project | report & presentation | Project Manager |

# 6.0 Project Risk Identification and Assessment

**6.1 Risk List -** the following list identifies early project risks, assumptions, constraints, etc., including, (but not limited to) the scope, schedule, budget (including vendor management), resource availability, organizational structure, legal requirements, etc.

| **#** | **Risk (uncertain event that may be impact the project either positively or negatively)** |
| --- | --- |
|  | Resistance from policymakers to change the regulations |
|  | Delays in external funding or resource allocation |
|  | Incomplete or unreliable research data |
|  | Unexpected legal or regulatory challenges |
|  | Lack of participation from key stakeholders in workshops or feedback sessions |

**6.2 Risk Analysis –** the following analysis expands upon the risks listed above to consider the following;

* Impact of the risk occurring – High, Medium, Low
* Probability of the risk occurring – High, Medium, Low
* Timeframe in which the risk might occur – Near, Mid, Far
* Consequence of the risk occurring – potential impacts on the project
* Strategy - for managing the risk

This analysis will be discussed by the Project Manager (PM), Sponsor and Client/Business Owner. Ranking should be determined by the PM, based on anticipated levels of Impact/Probability/Timeframes.

| No. | Risk (from list above) | Impact | Probability | Timeframe |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **IF** policymakers resist proposed regulation changes, | High | High | Mid-Term |
| **THEN** (consequence), the analysis of rent regulations may not lead to viable policy recommendations, delaying the project and preventing it from going live by December 31, 2025. | | | |
| **RESPONSE**:  Mitigate by engaging policymakers early, presenting strong evidence, and addressing concerns proactively. | | | |
|  | **IF** external funding or resources are delayed, | High | Medium | Near-Term |
| **THEN** (consequence), the project timeline and deliverables will be impacted. | | | |
| **RESPONSE**: Transfer by securing backup funding commitments from multiple sources to reduce dependency on a single funder. | | | |
|  | **IF** research data is incomplete or unreliable, | High | Medium | Mid-Term |
| **THEN** (consequence), the project findings will be skewed, reducing the effectiveness of the proposed solutions. | | | |
| **RESPONSE**: Avoid by establishing strict data collection and validation methods before analysis begins. | | | |
|  | **IF** unexpected legal or regulatory challenges arise, | High | Medium | Far-Term |
| **THEN** (consequence), the implementation of proposed reforms may be delayed or prevented, affecting long-term housing accessibility goals. | | | |
| **RESPONSE**: Accept but prepare contingency plans, including legal consultations and compliance adjustments. | | | |
|  | **IF** key stakeholders do not participate in workshops or provide feedback, | Medium | Medium | Mid- Term |
| **THEN** (consequence), policy recommendations may lack inclusivity. | | | |
| **RESPONSE**: Enhance by improving outreach efforts and making engagement easier. | | | |

# 7.0 Project Cost

**7.1 Project Budget Identification** - Which type of project costing will be applied?

Allocated budget with internal costs tracked as well (i.e. human resources, overhead, etc.)

| **Item** | **Objective #** | **Cost** | **Details** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Human Resources | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | $100,000 | Salaries for research, analysis, report writing, stakeholder engagement, and workshops |
| Equipment/Hardware/Software | 1, 2, 3, 4 | $15,000 | Tools for data analysis, report generation, and workshop materials |
| Licensing | 1, 2, 3, 4 | $5,000 | Licensing for data collection, survey tools, and publishing platforms |
| Consulting | 1, 2, 5 | $25,000 | Fees for housing policy experts, economists, or consultants for actionable recommendations |
| Project Management | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | $20,000 | Project management resources for oversight, scheduling, and reporting |
| Training/Education | 3 | $5,000 | Training for stakeholders and team members on policy analysis and implementation |
| Communications | 2, 3, 4 | $15,000 | Outreach, communication materials, and publishing the final report |
| Change Management | 5 | $10,000 | Managing and monitoring changes in policy and housing accessibility |
| Overhead | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | $10,000 | Office space, utilities, and other indirect costs related to administration |
| Contingency | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | $20,000 | Reserve funds for unexpected expenses or changes in scope |
| **TOTALS** |  | **$200,000** |  |

**7.2 Financial Reporting Requirements** – Provide a one-paragraph description of how this project will be funded and what financial reports will be required. Consider; Organizational / Partner / Government Financial Reports, Financial Forms, Request for Proposal Forms, Procurement Procedures (multiple quote requirements, etc.), Contracts, Vendor Statements of Work, Government Reporting, etc.

This project will be funded using both internal funds and possible government grants to support housing access in areas with high unemployment. We will keep track of spending through regular financial reports to ensure transparency. When applying for government grants, we will need to submit financial forms, such as Request for Proposal (RFP) forms for hiring consultants, contracts for software, and price comparisons for equipment purchases. Additionally, we will provide required government reports to show how the funds are used and track project progress.

# 8.0 Acceptance Criteria

**Acceptance of Project Objectives** (taken from section 2.0 Project Objectives above)

| **Project Objectives** (deliverables, outputs, documentation, etc.) | **Acceptance Criteria** (specific critical success factors, KPI, evaluation plan, other, etc…) | **Responsible** | **Accountable (Acceptor)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Analyze rent regulations in three high-unemployment regions by March 31, 2025, to identify specific barriers preventing vulnerable groups from accessing reliable housing. | Acceptance Criteria: A report identifying key barriers in rent regulations across three regions.  CSFs:  - Comprehensive data collection on rent regulations.  - Timely submission of the report by the due date.  KPIs:  - Timeliness: Report submitted by March 31, 2025 (100% compliance).  - Quality of Analysis: 90% of key regulatory barriers identified.  Evaluation Plan:  - Review report's accuracy and relevance. | Policy Analyst | Office of Housing Policy Research |
| 1. Develop a report with three actionable policy recommendations by May 31, 2025, to provide stakeholders with solutions for improving housing accessibility. | Acceptance Criteria: A report that includes three feasible and well-supported recommendations accepted by policymakers.  CSFs:  - Relevance to identified barriers.  - Stakeholder input and alignment with policy goals.  KPIs:  - Feasibility: 80% of stakeholders agree on recommendations' feasibility.  - Policy Impact: At least one recommendation demonstrates a measurable impact.  Evaluation Plan:  - Review stakeholder feedback for alignment with proposed policies. | Policy Analyst | Policymakers and Legislators |
| 1. Organize and conduct two stakeholder workshops by June 30, 2025, to gather feedback and ensure that proposed policies address diverse community needs. | Acceptance Criteria: Two stakeholder workshops with documented feedback.  CSFs:  - High-quality interaction and feedback.  - Effective communication and facilitation.  KPIs:  - Workshop Attendance: 80% attendance from targeted stakeholders.  - Satisfaction: 80% stakeholder satisfaction (via feedback surveys).  Evaluation Plan:  - Review of attendee feedback to assess engagement and workshop effectiveness.  - Evaluation of feedback documentation. | Stakeholder Engagement Lead | Project Manager |
| 1. Publish a public report summarizing findings and policy recommendations by July 31, 2025, to raise awareness and encourage broader discussions on housing accessibility challenges. | Acceptance Criteria: Public report released, summarizing key findings and recommendations.  CSFs:  - Clear, engaging content for a broad audience.  - Effective communication of key messages.  KPIs:  - Timeliness: Report published on or before July 31, 2025.  - Reach: 80% of stakeholders are aware of the report.  - Engagement: Minimum of 1000 downloads/reads or media mentions.  Evaluation Plan:  - Analyze public/media reception through download counts and media engagement.  - Stakeholder surveys to assess information needs met. | Stakeholder Engagement Lead | Project Manager |
| 1. Assess the effectiveness of implemented policy recommendations and achieve a measurable 10% reduction in housing accessibility barriers in targeted regions by December 31, 2025. | Acceptance Criteria: Data-driven assessment report showing impact on housing accessibility.  CSFs:  - Accurate data collection and comparison of pre- and post-policy accessibility metrics.  KPIs:  - Impact on Accessibility: 10% or greater reduction in housing accessibility barriers.  - Data Accuracy: 95% data reliability.  - Stakeholder Feedback: Positive feedback on policy effectiveness.  Evaluation Plan:  - Statistical analysis comparing pre- and post-policy data.  - Feedback from local authorities and advocacy groups. | Data Analyst | Municipal Housing Authorities & Advocacy Groups |

# Appendix A: Project Network Diagram

List all your project objectives and sub-objectives in the table below.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Activity ID | Description | Preceding Activity | Activity Duration |
|  | Analyze rent regulations in three high-unemployment regions by March 31, 2025, to identify specific barriers preventing vulnerable groups from accessing reliable housing. |  |  |
| A | Identify rent rules | none | 20 days |
| B | Identify housing challenges | A | 21 days |
| C | Analyze employment verification | B | 39 days |
| D | Evaluate government/disability payments | B | 44 days |
| E | Summarize key barriers | C, D | 6 days |

Draw a network diagram for two of your objectives.

Network Diagram Task #1 – draw the diagram for your objective that contains your critical path, ensuring it includes the following:

* at least one merge activity
* at least one burst activity
* a mini-table that provides the following information for the activity:
  + Description
  + ID Number
  + Duration
  + Early Start Date
  + Early Finish Date

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 41 | C | 80 |
|  | Analyze employment verification | |
|  | 39 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 85 | E | 91 |
|  | Summarize key barriers | |
|  | 6 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 20 | B | 41 |
|  | Identify housing challenges | |
|  | 21 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0 | A | 20 |
|  | Identify rent rules | |
|  | 20 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 41 | D | 85 |
|  | Evaluate gov. payments | |
|  | 44 |  |

Critical path: A -> B -> D -> E

Network Diagram Task #2 – add one more full objective to your diagram that is NOT the critical path, ensuring it includes the following

* all the same information listed above in Task #1
* float / slack time for the non-critical path activities

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Activity ID | Description | Preceding Activity | Activity Duration |
|  | Organize and conduct two stakeholder workshops by June 30, 2025, to gather feedback and ensure that proposed policies address diverse community needs. |  |  |
| A | Present proposed policies with infographics | none | 10 |
| B | Hold stakeholder forum | A | 9 |
| C | Issue feedback survey | A | 10 |
| D | Update policies with feedback | B, C | 8 |
| E | Compile final report | D | 3 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 10 | B | 19 |
| 1 | Hold stakeholder forum | |
| 11 | 9 | 20 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 10 | C | 20 |
| 0 | Issue feedback survey | |
| 10 | 10 | 20 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0 | A | 10 |
| 0 | Present proposed policies | |
| 0 | 10 | 10 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 20 | D | 28 |
| 0 | Update policies with feedback | |
| 20 | 8 | 28 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 28 | E | 31 |
| 0 | Compile final report | |
| 28 | 3 | 31 |

Critical path: A -> C -> D -> E

# Appendix B: Project Team Structure

Project Team Structure - List the Project Structure in this section of the document. An example is listed below to help. You will most likely have to complete your own Visio and paste into this document.

*A screenshot of a computer screen

AI-generated content may be incorrect.*

# Appendix C: Change Control Process

Change Control Roles

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Stakeholder Role | Role in Change Management (reviews, provides input, makes decisions, implements changes, etc. – link this role to the steps in your process below) | Rationale for proposed role in change management |
| 1. Office of Housing Policy Research | Approves project scope, allocates funding, reviews progress, and ensures alignment with national housing policy goals. | Ensures project feasibility, secures financial support, and maintains alignment with broader housing accessibility strategies. |
| 1. Policymakers and Legislators | Review the policy recommendations, participate in stakeholder discussions, and facilitate legal adoption of proposed changes. | Key decision-makers, who have the authority to implement new policies and amend existing rent regulations. |
| 1. Housing Advocates | Provide qualitative insights on housing accessibility challenges, advocate for policy changes, and help mobilize community support. | Ensure that policies address the real needs of vulnerable communities and enhance inclusivity. |
| 1. Landlords and Property Owners | Provide input on the practicality of proposed policy changes, share concerns about enforcement, and suggest feasible adjustments. | Directly impacted by rent regulations, their cooperation is essential for successful implementation. |
| 1. Municipal Housing Authorities | Implement approved policy changes, enforce new housing regulations, and provide data on housing accessibility trends. | Play a crucial role in translating policies into actionable programs at the local level. |
| 1. Legal and Economic Experts | Conduct legal reviews of proposed regulations, assess economic viability, and advise on potential unintended consequences. | Ensure that policy recommendations are legally compliant, economically sustainable, and minimize negative impacts. |
| 1. Project Manager | Leads project execution, manages deliverables, coordinates stakeholder engagement, and ensures timely completion of objectives. | Responsible for ensuring that research, policy drafting, and stakeholder consultations are carried out efficiently. |
| 1. Project Team | Implement the changes by conducting research, analyzing data, drafts recommendations, and engages stakeholders | Drives the project forward through research and collaboration, and to achieve the goal of the project. |

Change Control Process

Change request is raised

* Housing Advocates

(community needs)

* Landlords & Property Owners (practicality & enforcement)
* Municipal Housing Authorities (implementation Viability)
* Legal & Economic Experts (compliance & sustainability)

Project manager logs request

Initial evaluation by project manager (assesses feasibility & relevance)

Impact analysis by project team

(involves legal and economic experts)

Stakeholder consultation

Policy draft & review by project team

Review & decision by Office of Housing Policy Research

Rejected:

request closed,

update change log

Deferred:

requires more data, update reports

Approved:

Forward to Policymakers & Legislators

Legislative Review by Policymakers & Legislators

Rejected:

request closed,

update change log

Deferred:

request revision,

return to project team

Approved:

Change put into action, proceed to implementation

Implementation by Municipal Housing Authorities & Project Team

Monitoring & Reporting by Project Manager